

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 19, 1999 LB 637

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...under a contract or agreement.

SENATOR BROWN: Right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I don't under...well, first of all, my first question is, could...could the state conceivably contract with every county out there for the disbursements? I mean that thought had just occurred to me, and if that were true, then would you be talking about the state, basically, doing the collections and the counties doing the disbursement. And if so, what would be the advantage in that? Wouldn't we be adding more time to the process than we have right now? I'm just...I'm...

SENATOR BROWN: I...no, I...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...this is a friendly question.

SENATOR BROWN: No, I understand. I don't believe that we probably could contract with every county. The...I don't think that if we're worried about the federal requirements, I don't think that would meet the federal requirements, but that's something I would have to probably get a little bit more information about. It would all be done electronically and so the receipt, the notifications that it had come in to the state unit, and it would have an advantage. You asked what the advantage would be. It would have the advantage in that we have to have one central place for wage withholding to be sent to so that businesses will have one place that they can send a check to. Currently, businesses send checks to each county, to each individual, and this would be one central location.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I understand their problem, but I don't think we should write the bill for the businesses. I mean it's not really them that I'm concerned about here. I understand their problem but...

SENATOR BROWN: But that...that's why the wage, it's to encourage wage withholding that the federal government has made this requirement. So...so that if you had one place to send it to, then...then that meets part of the requirements of the federal legislation.